

## **REMARKS**

The Examiner is thanked for the thorough examination of this application. The Office Action, however, tentatively rejected all claims 1-14. In response, claim 7 and 12 has been amended, and Applicant further submits the following distinguishing remarks. In view of the following remarks, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of all rejections.

### **Response of Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. 112**

Claim 7 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as allegedly indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In response, claim 7 has been amended as “the method of claim 7, wherein the memory card is a compact flash card.” as suggested by Examiner. Thus, Applicant respectfully submits the requirements of § 112, second paragraph, have been satisfied, and withdrawal of this rejection and allowance of the claim are respectfully requested.

### **Response to Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)**

On a substantive basis, claims 1-14 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as allegedly anticipated by Nguyen (20030142333). Claims 1-5, 8, 11-12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as allegedly anticipated by Nakatsuji (20010043214). Claims 1 and 12 are independent claims. Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections for the following reasons. The PTO specifies in MPEP 2131 that, a claim is anticipated only if

each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference.

Turning to independent claim 1, claim 1 recites:

1. A font recording method for ***a digital recording/playing device*** comprising:  
***building a font recording space in a compact disk-recordable (CD-R);***  
***recording at least one font file in the font recording space;***  
inputting an inside code mapping to the font file; and  
***recording the inside code in the compact disk-recordable (CD-R)***

(*Emphasis added*). Claim 1 patently defines over the cited art for at least the reason that the cited art fails to disclose the features emphasized above.

In contrast to the claimed embodiments, in paragraph [0026] and [0027], Nguyen merely teaches a conventional personal computer system including an optical disk drive 30 to read from or write to a removable optical disk 31. Nguyen fails to disclose, suggest or teach, inter alia, “***building a font recording space in a CD-R; recording a font file in the font recording space; and recording the inside code in the CD-R***” as recited in claim 1.

As set forth above, Applicant respectfully asserts that Nguyen does not teach or reasonably suggest at least the features/limitations that have been emphasized above in independent claim 1. Accordingly, the rejection of claim 1 is deficient and claim 1 is in condition for allowance. Insofar as claim 1 is allowable, claims 2-11, which depend from claim 1, are also allowable on their own merits in claiming additional elements not included in claim 1.

Further, independent claim 12 recites:

12. A font displaying method for a digital recording/playing device applied in a compact disk-recordable (CD-R) having a font file and an inside code stored thereon, the method comprising:  
reading the data of the compact disk-recordable (CD-R);  
***searching a font code in the font file mapping to the inside code;***  
***reading the searched font code;*** and  
***updating the on screen display (OSD) based on the font code;***  
***wherein the font file is recorded in a font recording space in the compact disk-recordable (CD-R).***

(Emphasis added). Claim 12 patently defines over the cited art for at least the reason that the cited art fails to disclose the features emphasized above.

With respect to the embodiments of claim 12, the font file and the related inside code is pre-stored in the CD-R. In addition, the font code searched from the CD-R is used to update the OSD. In contrast, in Nguyen, there is no disclosure of the aforementioned method. Applicant believes that claim 12 is allowable over the cited reference. Insofar as claim 12 is allowable, claims 13-14, which depend from claim 12, are also allowable on their own merits in claiming additional elements not included in claim 12.

Furthermore, as for the application of *Nakatsuji* to claim 1, with respect to *Nakatsuji*, *Nakatsuji* teaches a bar code recording and printing system for editing a character string and converting the bar code into other bard code font to be printed (See paragraph [0048] and Fig. 4). However, *Nakatsuji* neither teaches the claimed feature of ***recording the inside code in the CD-R***, nor the claimed feature of ***building a font recording space in the CD-R***, which are expressly recited by claim 1, where the inside code is input and mapping to the font file, and the font file is recorded in the font recording space built in the CD-R. For at least this reason, independent claim 1 defines

over *Nakasuji*. For at least the same reasons, dependent claims 2-5, 8, and 11 define over *Nakasuji*.

As for the application of *Nakatsuji* to independent claim 12, *Nakatsuji* does appear to disclose a printer driver utilized to convert the display font codes into record font codes. However, claim 12 defines ***a font recording space is built in the CD-R*** utilized to record the font file. In addition, claim 12 specifies that ***the CD-R has a font file and an inside code stored thereon***. Therefore, a user is capable of editing the title or the filename of the CD-R, with the stored font file and the inside code. However, *Nakatsuji* does not disclose, suggest or teach the features emphasized above. For at least this reason, the application of *Nakatsuji* to claim 12 should be withdrawn.

## CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, it is believed that all pending claims are in proper condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would expedite the examination of the above-identified patent application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned.

If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephonic conference would expedite the examination of this matter, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned agent at (770) 933-9500.

Respectfully submitted,

/Daniel R. McClure/

---

**Daniel R. McClure, Reg. No. 38,962**

**THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, L.L.P.**

Suite 1750

100 Galleria Parkway N.W.

Atlanta, Georgia 30339

(770) 933-9500